

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

No. C-09-02180 SBA (DMR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER REGARDING REQUEST TO
FILE JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER
UNDER SEAL [DOCKET NO. 324]**

v.

E M E R G I N G M A R K E T S
COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ET AL,

Defendants.

_____/


The court is in receipt of Defendants ViaSat, Inc. and Teledyne Paradise Datacom, LLC's renewed Motion for Administrative Relief for permission to file portions of a joint discovery letter dated February 24, 2012 under seal, as well as an exhibit to the joint discovery letter in its entirety. [Docket No. 324.]

By no later than **1:00 p.m. on March 13, 2012**, Defendants ViaSat, Inc. and Teledyne Paradise Datacom, LLC and/or Plaintiff Applied Signal Technology, Inc. shall e-file a letter explaining why Exhibit A to the joint discovery letter, a privilege log, should be sealed from the public record in its entirety. Specifically, the letter shall articulate exactly what information

1 contained in the privilege log is “privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to
2 protection under the law.”¹ N.D. Civ. L.R. 79-5(a). Such letter shall not exceed one page.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 Dated: March 12, 2012



United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 ¹ The court notes that simply designating information as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -
27 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” does not create an entitlement to file confidential information under
28 seal, as provided by the terms of the parties’ Stipulated Protective Order. *See* Docket No. 212 at 1
(stating that “Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and reflects the
standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under
seal.”)